

Dietary Diversity: Implications for Obesity Prevention in Adult Populations

A Science Advisory From the American Heart Association

ABSTRACT: “Eat a variety of foods,” or dietary diversity, is a widely accepted recommendation to promote a healthy, nutritionally adequate diet and to reduce the risk of major chronic diseases. However, recent evidence from observational studies suggests that greater dietary diversity is associated with suboptimal eating patterns, that is, higher intakes of processed foods, refined grains, and sugar-sweetened beverages and lower intakes of minimally processed foods, such as fish, fruits, and vegetables, and may be associated with weight gain and obesity in adult populations. This American Heart Association science advisory summarizes definitions for dietary diversity and reviews current evidence on its relationship with obesity outcomes, eating behavior, and food-based diet quality measures. Current data do not support greater dietary diversity as an effective strategy to promote healthy eating patterns and healthy body weight. Given the current state of the science on dietary diversity and the insufficient data to inform recommendations on specific aspects of dietary diversity that may be beneficial or detrimental to healthy weight, it is appropriate to promote a healthy eating pattern that emphasizes adequate intake of plant foods, protein sources, low-fat dairy products, vegetable oils, and nuts and limits consumption of sweets, sugar-sweetened beverages, and red meats.

First introduced in the early 20th century in response to the prevalence of nutrient inadequacies, “eat a variety of foods” is a long-standing public health recommendation in the United States and worldwide.¹⁻⁴ It is based on the premise that consuming a wide variety of foods will ensure an adequate intake of essential nutrients and, in turn, will lead to better diet quality and optimal health outcomes.^{5,6} More recently, however, there is evidence that greater dietary diversity may be associated with suboptimal diet quality and higher food consumption and energy intake, particularly in middle-aged adult populations.⁷⁻¹² Poor diet quality and excess energy intake can negatively affect body weight and increase the burden of major chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. Currently, there is a lack of consensus about what dietary diversity is and how it is best measured. This American Heart Association science advisory summarizes the definitions and measures used to describe dietary diversity and reviews the evidence from observational studies on dietary diversity and its relationship with food-based diet quality, body weight, and adiposity measures. We have also reviewed evidence from intervention studies evaluating the influence of dietary variety on dietary factors relevant to obesity, including satiation and patterns of food consumption. Finally, we provide recommendations for future research needed to

Marcia C. de Oliveira Otto, PhD, MS, FAHA, Chair
Cheryl A.M. Anderson, PhD, MPH, MS, FAHA
Jennifer L. Dearborn, MD, MPH
Erin P. Ferranti, PhD, MPH, RN, FAHA
Dariush Mozaffarian, MD, DrPH, FAHA
Goutham Rao, MD, FAHA
Judith Wylie-Rosett, EdD, RD, FAHA
Alice H. Lichtenstein, DSc, FAHA, Vice Chair
On behalf of the American Heart Association Behavioral Change for Improving Health Factors Committee of the Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; and Stroke Council

Key Words: AHA Scientific Statements
■ diet ■ eating behavior ■ healthy diet
■ obesity ■ prevention and control

© 2018 American Heart Association, Inc.

<https://www.ahajournals.org/journal/circ>

inform dietary guidelines. The terms *dietary diversity* and *dietary variety* are considered to be synonymous and are used interchangeably.

LITERATURE SEARCH STRATEGY

A comprehensive literature search (January 2000–December 2017) of Medline was performed to identify English language articles on human subjects with the use of various combinations of the following search terms: *dietary variety*, *dietary diversity*, *food variety*, *diet quality*, *dietary patterns*, *eating behavior*, *energy intake*, *satiety*, *energy balance*, *obesity*, *body weight*, *weight change*, *weight gain*, and *waist circumference*. We also identified studies through a review of reference lists of published articles. Consistent with current nutrition guidance, which has shifted the concept of diet quality from nutrient adequacy to food-based recommendations, we have focused on studies using primarily food-based diet quality scores. The review was limited to observational and intervention studies conducted in participants ≥ 18 years of age.

DEFINING AND MEASURING DIETARY DIVERSITY

Diet Variety in the Dietary Guidelines for Americans

The 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans defined *diet variety* as a diverse assortment of foods and beverages across recommended food groups.⁴ Prior editions of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans emphasized 5 food groups: vegetables, fruits, grain-based foods such as bread and pasta, dairy foods, and protein sources such as red meat, poultry, beans, eggs, and nuts.^{13–16} The 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommend choosing a variety of nutrient-dense foods across and within all food groups, with particular emphasis on variety of vegetables and protein sources.

Measures of Dietary Diversity in Nutritional Studies

The Table shows a summary of key concepts and definitions of dietary diversity metrics used in large observational studies.

Count-Based Scores

Diet variety or diversity has traditionally been measured as counts of different foods or food groups consumed over a given period, typically 1 to 15 days.^{6,17–19} Based on self-reported dietary assessments, such as food frequency questionnaires or dietary recalls, this widely used metric reflects the different sources of macronu-

trients and micronutrients within dietary patterns based on a list of food groups specified a priori. Dietary variety scores have been developed on the basis of individual foods and beverages or food groups. For example, a food variety score allocates points for each distinct food and beverage consumed within a given period. A food group variety score such as the Dietary Diversity Score²⁵ allocates points for each food group consumed over a prespecified period.

Evenness and Dissimilarity

Because count-based scores do not appropriately account for the differences in food characteristics (eg, nutrient content), one of the main hypothesized benefits of highly diverse diets, or for the relative allocation of energy across foods or food groups, new measures have been adopted from established diversity science describing diversity in ecological and economic systems. One such measure is evenness or diversification, which assesses the relative share of energy across foods consumed in one's eating pattern.^{10,20–23} For example, an individual may consume many different foods per week, but a limited number of foods contribute to the majority of total energy intake (low evenness). In contrast, an individual may report a wider range of foods contributing to the total energy intake (high evenness). Evenness is commonly quantified with the Berry-Simpson index.^{10,20–23} Variations of this metric include the relative distribution of foods by weight or volume.^{21,22}

In addition to evenness, a diet dissimilarity score was developed to reflect the differences in food characteristics within a given eating pattern (Table).¹⁰ For example, an individual's diet may be composed of relatively similar foods (eg, mostly plant foods) or dissimilar foods (eg, fruits, vegetables, baked goods, snacks, soda). A list of attributes used to assess dissimilarity among foods includes characteristics that are relevant to cardiometabolic health such as sodium and fiber content, food type (animal versus plant food), and level of food processing (eg, minimally, moderately, or highly processed). Similar to food count measures, evenness and dissimilarity can be expressed on the basis of individual foods or food groups.

Count, evenness, and dissimilarity may reflect different and complementary aspects of dietary diversity. In a study evaluating multiple diversity measures, a moderate positive correlation was noted between food count and evenness, and a weak inverse correlation was seen between dissimilarity and food count and evenness.¹⁰ This suggests that each indicator provides unique insights into different dietary patterns that may be relevant to health outcomes. Understanding the different concepts and measures of dietary diversity is critical to improving our understanding of what a diverse diet is

Table. Measures of Dietary Diversity in Nutritional Studies

	Concept/Definition	Description	Example
Count ^{6,17–19}	Dietary diversity as the consumption of a number of food items	The number of foods or food groups consumed during a given period	An individual consuming a large number of foods in 1 wk (high count) vs a lower number of foods in 1 wk (low count)
Evenness ^{10,20–23}	Dietary diversity as a relatively balanced allocation of calories or foods in one's diet	The relative distribution of calories across individual foods	An individual with a more evenly distributed energy or food intake across foods consumed (high evenness) vs with only a few foods contributing most of the energy intake (low evenness)
Dissimilarity ^{10,24}	Dietary diversity as the consumption of foods with distinct characteristics or attributes	The differences in food attributes relevant to health, (eg, fiber, sodium, or <i>trans</i> fat content)	An individual consuming foods that are relatively similar (eg, mostly plant foods) vs a wide variety of dissimilar foods (eg, fruits, vegetables, baked goods, snacks, soda)

and how it may influence current dietary patterns that are relevant to obesity.

Dietary Quality Versus Diversity

In contrast to the concept of diet diversity, diet quality scores have been developed to assess diet healthfulness or adequate food consumption, typically as defined by dietary guidelines.^{26–31} For example, the Healthy Eating Index (HEI)^{32–34} was initially developed on the basis of the 1990 Dietary Guidelines for Americans, allocating higher points for adequate daily intake of grains, vegetables, fruit, milk, meat, total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, and sodium and for greater variety of food items, assessed with simple food count.³⁵ Higher food-based diet quality scores, such as the HEI, Alternative HEI, and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension, have consistently been associated with lower disease risk.^{26–28}

Few previous studies have modified dietary diversity measures to reflect diet quality in addition to, or rather than, diversity.^{6,22,36} These scores are not included as dietary diversity measures in this advisory. To appropriately delineate concepts that are central to dietary diversity and to assess their potential impact on diet quality and obesity outcomes, this advisory focuses on count; evenness; dissimilarity, defined from total food consumption; healthy foods only, including those consistent with current dietary guidelines; and less healthy foods.

INTERVENTION STUDIES ON SATIATION AND FOOD CONSUMPTION

Consistent with the notion of food dissimilarity, several short-term feeding studies in humans have evaluated the effects of various food characteristics such as flavor, texture, and appearance on satiation (ie, the process that leads to the cessation of eating and drinking).^{7,8,37–41} The majority of the studies showed that serving a wider variety of foods led to an increase in food intake compared with serving a single food.^{40,41} Evidence from

previous studies suggests that relationships of dietary variety are likely mediated by sensory-specific satiety, the decline in pleasantness and desire to eat during the course of a meal. For example, an intervention in 21 normal-weight male participants (mean±SD age, 22±3 years) showed that adding ketchup or mayonnaise to French fries and vanilla or whipped cream to brownies during the second course of a meal decreased sensory satiety and increased ad libitum intake of these foods by nearly 40% compared with offering the same plain food a second time (mean±SD food intake, 512±227 g for the second course with added condiments versus 366±163 g for the second course without condiments).⁴² Similarly, a 2-course feeding study including 23 US and UK adults (mean±SD age, 28.6±2.9 years) showed that serving sandwiches with different filling options (cheese or ham) during the second course of a meal increased ad libitum food intake by 30% compared with serving the same option provided in the first course (mean±SE food intake units, 11.7±1.0 for different fillings versus 9±1.0 for similar options).³⁷ Limited evidence from feeding studies to date suggests that greater diet diversity increases food consumption through amplifying sensory stimulation associated with multiple foods, delaying satiation.

One 8-week weight loss intervention examined the effect of varying the daily count of snacks on satiation and eating patterns. In this study, 30 overweight and obese adults (mean±SD age, 50.9±8.4 years) were randomized to an unlimited number of snack options consumed less than once a day (ie, “everything in moderation”) or 1 highly liked snack option of their choice at any desired amount. Both options were within the daily caloric goal of 1200 to 1500 kcal/d. After 8 weeks, the group assigned to an unlimited variety of snack options consumed 25% more snack servings per week compared with those randomized to 1 snack option (mean±SD servings per week, 9.1±7.3 for the unlimited variety versus 7.3±4.2 for the 1-snack option). Although calorie restriction goals were achieved in both groups, a significant increase in sensory-specific satiety

and monotony ratings over time was observed in participants assigned to the 1-snack option but not in participants assigned to a variety of snacks.^{43,44}

DIET DIVERSITY CONCEPTS AND MEASURES: SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS

- There is no standardized measure for dietary diversity; self-reported dietary diversity has been defined in most studies as the count (ie, the number of food or food groups consumed over a specific period [1–15 d]).
- Other measures of dietary diversity include evenness (ie, the relative distribution of calories across individual foods) and dissimilarity (ie, the differences in food attributes relevant to health).
- Dietary diversity can be estimated on the basis of total food consumption as well as on selected food groups only (eg, variety of fruit or vegetable intake).
- In contrast to the concept of diet diversity, diet quality scores have been developed that assess diet healthfulness; that is, adequate food consumption, typically as defined by dietary guidelines.

DIETARY DIVERSITY, EATING PATTERNS, AND DIET QUALITY

Few studies have examined how dietary diversity may influence eating patterns or food-based diet quality scores. Among Iranian female students ($n=289$; age range, 18–28 years), greater dietary diversity score, assessed with a food group count based on 5 food groups (fruit, vegetables, grains, meat, and dairy), was positively associated with intakes of fruit, vegetables, whole grains, and dairy and inversely associated with intakes of refined grains and fast foods.⁴⁵ In a study including low-income women living in California ($n=112$; age range, 18–55 years), a greater variety of vegetable intake was positively associated with intakes of fruits and whole grains and with overall diet quality assessed with HEI scores.⁴⁶

Findings from these relatively small investigations contrast with those of population-based observational studies. In a recent cross-sectional study using data from the National Nutritional Survey in southwest China ($n=1105$ participants; age, 18–59 years), investigators reported that mean daily consumption of 9 food groups included in the Chinese dietary guidelines (grains, vegetables, fruits, beans, meats, dairy, eggs, fish, and oil) was suboptimal across increasing categories of dietary diversity scores.⁴⁷ In particular, Chinese participants reporting greater dietary diversity did not meet recommended daily intakes for fruits, vegetables, fish, and

dairy and exceeded recommended intakes of grains, meats, and oil.⁴⁷ These findings suggest no benefit of greater dietary diversity in diet quality and adherence to dietary recommendations among Chinese adults.⁴⁷

A cross-sectional study using national survey data on 35237 households in Brazil showed a positive association between dietary diversity scores (estimated from 27 recommended food groups according to the Brazilian food guidelines) and household availability of nonrecommended foods such as refined sugar, sugar-sweetened beverages, cookies, and cakes.¹¹ Consistent with findings from the former study in China,⁴⁷ this study suggests that household availability of a wider variety of foods, as assessed by food group count, was positively correlated with availability of food sources of refined carbohydrates, with potential implications for obesity among Brazilian adults.¹¹

In MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis), greater dietary diversity assessed by food count and evenness was positively correlated with intakes of recommended nutrient-dense foods such as fruits, vegetables, and whole grains and nonrecommended foods such as processed meats, salty snacks, and sugar-sweetened beverages ($n=2505$; mean \pm SD age, 61.9 \pm 10.3 years) and weakly correlated with diet quality scores such as the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension and the Alternative HEI (multivariate-adjusted correlation coefficients ranging from 0.04 to 0.20).¹⁰ This suggests that within a diverse diet, as measured by either count or evenness, the potential benefit of nutrient-dense foods, such as fruits and vegetables, may be outweighed by high intakes of sodium, starch, and refined grains, leading to little benefit to overall diet quality. Notably, diet dissimilarity, a third metric of dietary diversity, was positively correlated with intakes of nonrecommended foods and inversely correlated with intakes of recommended foods, resulting in inverse correlations with diet quality scores (correlations between diet dissimilarity and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension and Alternative HEI, -0.37 and -0.34 , respectively).¹⁰

Overall, limited evidence shows no benefit to diet quality or diet healthfulness associated with increased food count or with a more even distribution of energy across foods, whereas findings from 1 observational study suggest that greater dissimilarity in foods consumed may be inversely associated with a healthy eating pattern. This body of evidence does not raise questions about the established benefits of greater food count for meeting nutrient requirements in resource-poor populations, particularly those with limited availability of nutrient-dense foods.^{48–54} Rather, it highlights the need to evaluate how dietary diversity influences current eating patterns and health outcomes in populations exposed to an increasing variety of food choices. Given the shifts in dietary patterns resulting from urbanization and increased availability of low-cost processed foods in different countries, there is a need to understand the

potential influence of the food environment and of key socioeconomic and cultural factors on the relationship between diet diversity and diet quality.

DIETARY DIVERSITY, BODY WEIGHT, CENTRAL ADIPOSITY, AND OBESITY

Two systematic reviews summarizing evidence from observational studies examining the association between dietary diversity and obesity outcomes have shown inconsistent results.^{17,18} In a review including cross-sectional observational studies using food group count, 7 of 16 studies reported nonsignificant associations, 5 reported positive associations, and 4 reported inverse associations between dietary diversity scores and the prevalence of overweight and obesity.¹⁷ This review included a meta-analysis of 8 studies (n=6091 participants) showing no overall association between food group count and overweight or obesity (pooled odds ratio for prevalence of overweight or obesity among the lowest compared with the highest diet diversity scores, 0.72; 95% confidence interval, 0.45–1.16). Of note, the investigators indicated that there was substantial between-study heterogeneity and that subgroup analysis failed to identify key sources of discrepancies across studies.¹⁷ Mixed results were also reported in a review of cross-sectional observational studies evaluating associations between dietary diversity and measures of body adiposity in healthy adult populations,¹⁸ with 7 of 14 studies reporting nonsignificant associations, 3 reporting positive associations, and 4 reporting inverse associations between total dietary variety and adiposity. The same study reported that diet variety in recommended foods such as fruits, vegetables, and grains was inversely associated with body adiposity measures in 6 of 10 studies, whereas the remaining 4 investigations reported null or mixed findings.¹⁸ In contrast, 6 of 9 studies reported positive associations between diet variety of nonrecommended foods such as snacks and sweets and body adiposity.¹⁸ This suggests that there may be potential differences in associations of dietary diversity for healthier versus less healthy foods. Evidence from cross-sectional studies is limited by the cross-sectional design, which allows no inference about the temporality of the relationships and could be influenced by reverse causation.

To the best of our knowledge, only 3 studies have prospectively examined associations of dietary diversity and obesity outcomes. In 1 secondary analysis including data from 183 overweight and obese participants in an 18-month weight loss trial (age range at baseline, 21–65 years), increasing diversity in intakes of low-energy-dense foods (<4.186 kJ/kcal·g⁻¹), assessed with food count, was associated with a decrease in

body mass index after 6 and 18 months (≈ -0.2 kg/m²; $P < 0.05$).⁵⁵ In this analysis, diversity in intakes of high-energy-dense foods (>12.56 kJ/3.0 kcal·g⁻¹) was not associated with change in body mass index.⁵⁵ Two observational studies investigated prospective associations in Chinese and US adults. After 5 to 9 years of follow-up, Chinese adults (n=732; age range at baseline, 25–74 years) reporting greater diversity in intakes of snacks, but not grains, vegetables, fruits, meats, or beverages, had 45% greater odds of being overweight (odds ratio, 1.45; 95% confidence interval, 1.06–1.98) compared with those reporting lower diversity in snack consumption.⁵⁶ The second study including 2505 multiethnic US adults (mean±SD age at baseline, 61.9±10.3 years) reported no significant association between total food count or evenness and change in abdominal obesity after 5 years of follow-up.¹⁰ In this analysis, participants in the highest quintile of dissimilarity scores had 120% greater gain in waist circumference compared with those in the lowest category (mean change in waist circumference in extreme diet dissimilarity scores, 2.0 [95% confidence interval, 1.5–2.6] and 0.9 [95% confidence interval, 0.3–1.6] for quintiles 5 and 1, respectively). Associations with dissimilarity scores are consistent with evidence from feeding studies showing that exposure to foods with different characteristics led to increased energy intake, which may partially explain gain in waist circumference over time. Overall, data from large observational investigations do not support benefits of a greater variety of foods from different food groups on achieving or maintaining a healthy weight.

Significant limitations in previous studies may have contributed to the inconsistency across prior findings. First, there were substantial differences in the number of foods and food groups used to estimate dietary diversity, limiting comparability of study findings. For example, in review studies using the Dietary Diversity Score, the number of food groups selected to assess dietary diversity across different studies ranged from 5 to 24.¹⁷ In addition, there was considerable variation in the types of food groups included in the score. For example, although several studies based their estimation on 5 traditional food groups (fruits, vegetables, meat or protein sources, dairy, and grains), others have expanded their estimation to incorporate a broader range of food groups such as sweets, snacks, and caloric beverages. Careful consideration of dietary diversity measures and what these measures are designed to reflect—total, healthier, or less healthy food dietary diversity—is crucial to allow appropriate interpretation and comparison across studies. In addition, nearly all previous investigations used single-count measures to assess dietary diversity, a measure that may not fully account for potentially relevant aspects of dietary diversity.

In addition to limitations of diversity measures, several studies lacked statistical adjustment for potentially relevant factors, including sociodemographics and lifestyle. The use of unadjusted or parsimonious statistical models raises the potential for substantial confounding in measures of associations with obesity outcomes. On the other hand, although adjustment for energy intake is often used in nutritional studies to reduce measurement error in dietary measures,⁵⁷ evidence from feeding studies suggests that calorie intake could be an important mediator in the relationship between diet diversity and obesity. Thus, adjustment for energy intake may lead to excessive attenuation of potential associations. In addition, several prior studies were limited by their small sample size and the use of convenience samples (eg, female university students in Iran, low-income women living in South Africa), hence limiting the statistical power and generalizability of their results. Finally, most prior studies provided cross-sectional data with limited evidence for causal inference. Thus, appropriately powered prospective investigations with careful consideration of relevant confounders and intermediate factors are needed to provide accurate assessment of relationships between dietary diversity and obesity end points.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH ON DIETARY DIVERSITY

As summarized above, the scientific evidence to date does not support benefits of greater dietary diversity for optimal diet quality or healthy weight. Research in this field has been limited by the use of single count-based measures and by inconsistencies in the number and types of foods or food groups included in the estimation. There is a need for standardized, reliable measures defining what diet diversity is and what aspects of diversity may maximize benefits to health outcomes. This may be achieved by assessing multiple aspects of dietary diversity, defined on the basis of a wide range of foods and food groups, both healthy and unhealthy, and evaluating their potential influence on diet quality and health outcomes. Future research should include stratification by food healthfulness to help identify key food groups that could be targeted to help achieve and maintain healthy weight over time. Additional studies are needed to investigate whether potential health benefits from increasing diet variety of recommended food groups (eg, fruits and vegetables) may extend beyond increasing the quantity of consumption.

There is also a need for robust, well-designed prospective studies assessing the relationship between dietary diversity and clinical, metabolic, and cardiovascular outcomes. Despite evidence of substantial disparities

in the prevalence of obesity, little is known about how dietary diversity may influence obesity in underserved populations, including blacks and Hispanics. Given the established cultural variation in eating patterns, examining dietary diversity in different racial/ethnic and low-income groups is necessary to identify potentially vulnerable groups and to inform specific recommendations about potential limits to dietary diversity and the contexts that may lead to poor diet quality and weight gain. Additional studies should also evaluate temporal trends and cultural and socioeconomic determinants of diet diversity.

Finally, there is a critical need to better understand how specific aspects of dietary diversity may influence food and beverage choices, appetite, satiation, and energy intake, particularly in the long term. Understanding such mechanisms is particularly important to help inform interventions and intentional approaches to eating to promote healthy dietary patterns at appropriate calorie levels in both normal-weight and overweight adults.

CONCLUSIONS

The preponderance of evidence does not support the notion of dietary diversity as an effective strategy to promote healthy eating patterns and healthy body weight. Limited evidence suggests that dietary diversity may contribute to increased energy intake, suboptimal eating patterns, and weight gain in adult populations. Given the current state of the science on dietary diversity and the insufficient data to inform recommendations on specific aspects of dietary diversity that may be beneficial or detrimental to healthy weight, it is appropriate to promote a healthy eating pattern that emphasizes adequate intake of plant foods, protein sources, low-fat dairy products, vegetable oils, and nuts and limits consumption of sweets, sugar-sweetened beverages, and red meats.³¹

SUMMARY

- Evidence from observational studies to date does not support benefits of greater dietary diversity for healthy weight or optimal eating pattern.
- Short-term feeding studies show that exposure to a variety of foods may reduce sensory-specific satiation, increasing energy intake and food consumption in adult populations.
- Limited evidence from observational studies suggests that greater dietary diversity is associated with greater energy intake, suboptimal eating patterns, and weight gain in adult populations.
- Given the current state of the science on dietary diversity, it is appropriate to promote a healthy



eating pattern that emphasizes adequate intake of plant foods, protein sources, low-fat dairy products, vegetable oils, and nuts and limits consumption of sweets, sugar-sweetened beverages, and red meats.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

The American Heart Association makes every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This advisory was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on April 11, 2018, and the American Heart Association Executive Committee on June 26, 2018. A copy of the document is available at <http://professional.heart.org/statements> by using either "Search for Guidelines & Statements" or the "Browse by Topic" area. To pur-

chase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com.

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: de Oliveira Otto MC, Anderson CAM, Dearborn JL, Ferranti EP, Mozaffarian D, Rao G, Wylie-Rosett J, Lichtenstein AH; on behalf of the American Heart Association Behavioral Change for Improving Health Factors Committee of the Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; and Stroke Council. Dietary diversity: implications for obesity prevention in adult populations: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;138:e●●●-e●●●. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000595.

The expert peer review of AHA-commissioned documents (eg, scientific statements, clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews) is conducted by the AHA Office of Science Operations. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit <http://professional.heart.org/statements>. Select the "Guidelines & Statements" drop-down menu, then click "Publication Development."

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/General/Copyright-Permission-Guidelines_UCM_300404_Article.jsp. A link to the "Copyright Permissions Request Form" appears on the right side of the page.

Disclosures

Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Marcia C. de Oliveira Otto	University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Alice H. Lichtenstein	Tufts University, Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging	Hass Avocado Board (funding multicenter clinical trial, 1 site at Tufts University, is co-PI)†	None	None	None	None	None	None
Cheryl A.M. Anderson	University of California at San Diego	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Jennifer L. Dearborn	Yale School of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Erin P. Ferranti	Emory University	NIH, NINR (K01 grant just received effective May 1, 2018)*	None	None	None	None	None	Emory University (assistant professor)†
Dariush Mozaffarian	Tufts University Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy	NIH (nutrition policy, cost-effective, comparative effectiveness, fatty acids)†; Gates Foundation (Global Dietary Database)†	None	None	None	None	Nutrition Impact*; Bunge*	None
Goutham Rao	Case Western Reserve University and University Hospitals of Cleveland	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Judith Wylie-Rosett	Albert Einstein College of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest.

†Significant.

Reviewer Disclosures

Reviewer	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Stephen R. Daniels	University of Colorado School of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Jutta Dierkes	University of Bergen (Norway)	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Fumiaki Imamura	Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge (UK)	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Michael Miller	University of Maryland	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

REFERENCES

- German Nutrition Society (DGE). 10 Guidelines of the German Nutrition Society (DGE) for a wholesome diet. 2005. <https://www.dge.de/ernaehrungspraxis/vollwertige-ernaehrung/10-regeln-der-dge/10-guidelines-of-the-german-nutrition-society/>. Accessed September 5, 2017.
- Montagnese C, Santarpia L, Buonifacio M, Nardelli A, Caldara AR, Silvestri E, Contaldo F, Pasanisi F. European food-based dietary guidelines: a comparison and update. *Nutrition*. 2015;31:908–915. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2015.01.002.
- Mozaffarian D, Ludwig DS. Dietary guidelines in the 21st century: a time for food. *JAMA*. 2010;304:681–682. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.1116.
- US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services. 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 2015. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/>. Accessed September 8, 2017.
- US Department of Agriculture and US Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion. The Food Guide Pyramid. 1992. <https://www.cnpp.usda.gov/FGP>. Accessed September 8, 2017.
- Ruel MT. Operationalizing dietary diversity: a review of measurement issues and research priorities. *J Nutr*. 2003;133(suppl 2):3911S–3926S. doi: 10.1093/jn/133.11.3911S.
- McCrorry MA, Burke A, Roberts SB. Dietary (sensory) variety and energy balance. *Physiol Behav*. 2012;107:576–583. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2012.06.012.
- Stubbs RJ, Johnstone AM, Mazlan N, Mbauiwa SE, Ferris S. Effect of altering the variety of sensorially distinct foods, of the same macronutrient content, on food intake and body weight in men. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2001;55:19–28.
- McCrorry MA, Fuss PJ, McCallum JE, Yao M, Vinken AG, Hays NP, Roberts SB. Dietary variety within food groups: association with energy intake and body fatness in men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69:440–447. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/69.3.440.
- Otto MC, Padhye NS, Bertoni AG, Jacobs DR Jr, Mozaffarian D. Everything in moderation: dietary diversity and quality, central obesity and risk of diabetes. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0141341. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141341.
- Bezerra IN, Sichieri R. Household food diversity and nutritional status among adults in Brazil. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011;8:22. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-8-22.
- Masset G, Scarborough P, Rayner M, Mishra G, Brunner EJ. Can nutrient profiling help to identify foods which diet variety should be encouraged? Results from the Whitehall II cohort. *Br J Nutr*. 2015;113:1800–1809. doi: 10.1017/S000711451500094X.
- US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 1990. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/1990.asp>. Accessed August 25, 2017.
- US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 1995. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/1995.asp>. Accessed August 25, 2017.
- US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2005. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2005.asp>. Accessed August 25, 2017.
- US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. <https://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2010/>. Accessed August 25, 2017.
- Salehi-Abargouei A, Akbari F, Bellissimo N, Azadbakht L. Dietary diversity score and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2016;70:1–9. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2015.118.
- Vadiveloo M, Dixon LB, Parekh N. Associations between dietary variety and measures of body adiposity: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Br J Nutr*. 2013;109:1557–1572. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512006150.
- Kant AK. Indexes of overall diet quality: a review. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1996;96:785–791. doi: 10.1016/S0002-8223(96)00217-9.
- Katanoda K, Kim HS, Matsumura Y. New Quantitative Index for Dietary Diversity (QUANTIDD) and its annual changes in the Japanese. *Nutrition*. 2006;22:283–287. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2005.06.014.
- Drescher LS, Thiele S, Mensink GB. A new index to measure healthy food diversity better reflects a healthy diet than traditional measures. *J Nutr*. 2007;137:647–651. doi: 10.1093/jn/137.3.647.
- Vadiveloo M, Dixon LB, Mijanovich T, Elbel B, Parekh N. Development and evaluation of the US Healthy Food Diversity Index. *Br J Nutr*. 2014;112:1562–1574. doi: 10.1017/S0007114514002049.
- Truthmann J, Richter A, Thiele S, Drescher L, Roosen J, Mensink GB. Associations of dietary indices with biomarkers of dietary exposure and cardiovascular status among adolescents in Germany. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. 2012;9:92. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-9-92.
- Real R, Vargas JM. The probabilistic basis of Jaccard's index of similarity. *Systems Biology*. 1996;45:380–385.
- Kant AK, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Ziegler RG, Block G. Dietary diversity and subsequent mortality in the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1993;57:434–440. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/57.3.434.
- Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Diet quality as assessed by the Healthy Eating Index, the Alternate Healthy Eating Index, the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension score, and health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2015;115:780–800.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2014.12.009.
- de Koning L, Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Hu FB. Diet-quality scores and the risk of type 2 diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34:1150–1156. doi: 10.2337/dc10-2352.
- Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. *J Nutr*. 2012;142:1009–1018. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.157222.
- Chomistek AK, Chiuve SE, Eliassen AH, Mukamal KJ, Willett WC, Rimm EB. Healthy lifestyle in the primordial prevention of cardiovascular disease among young women. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:43–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.10.024.
- Rehm CD, Peñalvo JL, Afshin A, Mozaffarian D. Dietary intake among us adults, 1999–2012. *JAMA*. 2016;315:2542–2553. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.7491.
- Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, de Jesus JM, Houston Miller N, Hubbard VS, Lee IM, Lichtenstein AH, Loria CM, Millen BE, Nonas CA, Sacks FM, Smith SC Jr, Svetkey LP, Wadden TA, Yanovski SZ. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on

- lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines [published corrections appear in *Circulation*. 2014;129(suppl 2):S100–S101 and *Circulation*. 2015;131:e326]. *Circulation*. 2014;129(suppl 2):S76–S99. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000437740.48606.d1.
32. Guenther PM, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Reeve BB, Basiotis PP. Development and evaluation of the Healthy Eating Index-2005: technical report. 2007. <https://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:7WxlUuUr4TAJ:https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/18682/HEI-2005TechnicalReport.pdf%3Fsequence%3D3+&cd=1&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=us>. Accessed September 6, 2017.
 33. Guenther PM, Casavale KO, Reedy J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hiza HA, Kuczynski KJ, Kahle LL, Krebs-Smith SM. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2010. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2013;113:569–580. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2012.12.016.
 34. National Cancer Institute. Developing the healthy eating index. 2017. <https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/hei/developing.html>. Accessed September 6, 2017.
 35. Kennedy ET, Ohls J, Carlson S, Fleming K. The Healthy Eating Index: design and applications. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1995;95:1103–1108. doi: 10.1016/S0002-8223(95)00300-2.
 36. Power SE, O'Connor EM, Ross RP, Stanton C, O'Toole PW, Fitzgerald GF, Jeffery IB. Dietary glycaemic load associated with cognitive performance in elderly subjects. *Eur J Nutr*. 2015;54:557–568. doi: 10.1007/s00394-014-0737-5.
 37. Nolan LJ, Hetherington MM. The effects of sham feeding-induced sensory specific satiation and food variety on subsequent food intake in humans. *Appetite*. 2009;52:720–725. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2009.03.012.
 38. McCrory MA, Suen VM, Roberts SB. Biobehavioral influences on energy intake and adult weight gain. *J Nutr*. 2002;132:3830S–3834S. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.12.3830S.
 39. Hetherington MM, Foster R, Newman T, Anderson AS, Norton G. Understanding variety: tasting different foods delays satiation. *Physiol Behav*. 2006;87:263–271. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.10.012.
 40. Sørensen LB, Møller P, Flint A, Martens M, Raben A. Effect of sensory perception of foods on appetite and food intake: a review of studies on humans. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2003;27:1152–1166. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0802391.
 41. Raynor HA, Epstein LH. Dietary variety, energy regulation, and obesity. *Psychol Bull*. 2001;127:325–341.
 42. Brondel L, Romer M, Van Wymelbeke V, Pineau N, Jiang T, Hanus C, Rigaud D. Variety enhances food intake in humans: role of sensory-specific satiety. *Physiol Behav*. 2009;97:44–51. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.01.019.
 43. Raynor HA, Niemeier HM, Wing RR. Effect of limiting snack food variety on long-term sensory-specific satiety and monotony during obesity treatment. *Eat Behav*. 2006;7:1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2005.05.005.
 44. Raynor HA, Wing RR. Effect of limiting snack food variety across days on hedonics and consumption. *Appetite*. 2006;46:168–176. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2005.12.001.
 45. Azadbakht L, Esmailzadeh A. Dietary diversity score is related to obesity and abdominal adiposity among Iranian female youth. *Public Health Nutr*. 2011;14:62–69. doi: 10.1017/S1368980010000522.
 46. Keim NL, Forester SM, Lyly M, Aaron GJ, Townsend MS. Vegetable variety is a key to improved diet quality in low-income women in California. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2014;114:430–435. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.07.026.
 47. Zhang Q, Chen X, Liu Z, Varma DS, Wan R, Zhao S. Diet diversity and nutritional status among adults in southwest China. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0172406. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0172406.
 48. Murphy SP, Foote JA, Wilkens LR, Basiotis PP, Carlson A, White KK, Yonemori KM. Simple measures of dietary variety are associated with improved dietary quality. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2006;106:425–429. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2005.12.003.
 49. Foote JA, Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Basiotis PP, Carlson A. Dietary variety increases the probability of nutrient adequacy among adults. *J Nutr*. 2004;134:1779–1785. doi: 10.1093/jn/134.7.1779.
 50. Oldewage-Theron WH, Kruger R. Food variety and dietary diversity as indicators of the dietary adequacy and health status of an elderly population in Sharpeville, South Africa. *J Nutr Elder*. 2008;27:101–133. doi: 10.1080/01639360802060140.
 51. Oldewage-Theron W, Kruger R. Dietary diversity and adequacy of women caregivers in a peri-urban informal settlement in South Africa. *Nutrition*. 2011;27:420–427. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2010.05.013.
 52. Fujita M, Lo YJ, Baranski JR. Dietary diversity score is a useful indicator of vitamin A status of adult women in Northern Kenya. *Am J Hum Biol*. 2012;24:829–834. doi: 10.1002/ajhb.22327.
 53. Arimond M, Wiesmann D, Becquey E, Carriquiry A, Daniels MC, Deitchler M, Fanou-Fogny N, Joseph ML, Kennedy G, Martin-Prevel Y, Torheim LE. Simple food group diversity indicators predict micronutrient adequacy of women's diets in 5 diverse, resource-poor settings. *J Nutr*. 2010;140:2059S–2069S. doi: 10.3945/jn.110.123414.
 54. Torheim LE, Ouattara F, Diarra MM, Thiam FD, Barikmo I, Hatloy A, Oshaug A. Nutrient adequacy and dietary diversity in rural Mali: association and determinants. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2004;58:594–604. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601853.
 55. Vadeloo M, Parker H, Raynor H. Increasing low-energy-dense foods and decreasing high-energy-dense foods differently influence weight loss trial outcomes. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2018;42:479–486. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.2017.303.
 56. Woo J, Cheung B, Ho S, Sham A, Lam TH. Influence of dietary pattern on the development of overweight in a Chinese population. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2008;62:480–487. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602702.
 57. Willett WC, Stampfer M. Implications of total energy intake for epidemiologic analyses. In: Willett W, ed. *Nutritional Epidemiology*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1998:273–301.